

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/995,933		11/28/2001	Christopher L. Casler	CASL01NP	2008	
23892	7590	08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVID S A		/ENILIE	FINEMAN, LEE A			
3762 WEST 11TH AVENUE #408				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EUGENE, C	EUGENE, OR 97402			2872		
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/995,933	CASLER, CHRISTOPHER L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lee Fineman	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Ju</i>	<u>ne 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 June 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2872

٥,

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8 June 2004 has been entered in which claims 1-2, 4, 9, 10 and 12 were amended and claims 3, 5-8, 11 and 13-16 were cancelled. Claims 1-2, 4, 9, 10 and 12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 9-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keitoku, U.S. Patent No. 5,036,188 in view of Harwood, United Kingdom Patent No. GB 1,500,495 A and Haddock, U.S. Patent No. 4,912,880 or Takahashi et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,921,330

Keitoku discloses audio-visual (e.g. TV or stereo, column 1, lines 14-15) remote controlled retail electronic device (figs. 8 and 9) with a plane transparent portion (2) for an infrared receiver (3) as well as a hemispheric lens (figs. 1-4, 6-7) comprising a lens body (11)

made from a material substantially transparent at an infrared wavelength received by the receiver, and being used for increasing the acceptance angle over which the infrared signals are received by the infrared receiver (column 2, lines 30-45).

Keitoku is silent as to the transparent hemispheric lens body being fabricated from a dielectric material, in particular acrylic plastic; having a substantially hemispheric concave inner and convex outer surface, having a substantially flat annular surface connecting the inner and outer surfaces, an adhesive layer comprising double-sided adhesive tape provided on the annular surface for securing the lens to a face of the remote-control device and wherein the lens body hemispheric inner surface is about 3/8 inch in diameter and the lens body hemispheric outer surface is about 1/2 inch in diameter. Keitoku also does not explicitly disclose purchasing or selling the hemispheric lens for retrofitting the remote-controlled electronic retail entertainment device

Harwood teaches a hemispheric lens (4), made of acrylic plastic, having a substantially hemispheric concave inner and convex outer surface and having a substantially flat annular surface connecting the inner and outer surfaces lens (figure) which is secured to the device (1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the lens of Keitoku from a transparent dielectric material, in particular acrylic plastic, and have a substantially hemispheric concave inner and convex outer surface with a substantially flat annular surface connecting the inner and outer surfaces lens as suggested by Harwood, to provide cost savings by using reduced amounts of less expensive materials. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a 1/2-inch exterior, 3/8-inch interior diameter lens, since it has been held that where the

Application/Control Number: 09/995.933

Art Unit: 2872

general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering an optimum value or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to use a specific lens diameter, like the claimed values, for the purpose of providing specific acceptance angles/angles of view. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456 105 USPQ 233, 235.

Harwood also teaches that the hemispheric lens attachment (figure) is added (retrofit) to a known device (page 1, lines 75-78) to increase acceptance angles of the device (page 1, lines 23-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to retrofit the known device (figs. 8 and 9) of Keitoku with a lens like that of Harwood, to increase the acceptance angles of the device. Additionally, it would also have been obvious to obtain the add-on lens by a retail purchase or sale because that is a conventional method of obtaining add-on devices.

Furthermore, with regard to claim 9, it would also have been obvious to include instructions for such an add-on lens assembly because it is conventional to include instructions to properly add the element which would result in "instructing" of the end-user as set out in claim 9.

Finally, while Keitoku or Harwood do not explicitly teach an adhesive layer to secure the hemispheric lens to a device, it is very well known to use an adhesive layer, like double sided tape, to connect optical elements as illustrated by Haddock et al, see especially column 9, lines 31-35, and Takahashi et al, see especially column 4, lines 59-64. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use double-sided adhesive tape to secure the hemispheric lens of Keitoku in view of Harwood as set forth above since it is a well-known cost effective method of adhesion.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,933

Art Unit: 2872

Page 5

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4, 9-10, and 12 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lee Fineman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2313. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAF

August 4, 2004

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER